



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,433		08/08/2001	Jun Koyama	12732-064001	9952
26171	7590	07/17/2006		EXAM	INER
FISH & F	RICHARD	SON P.C.	MENGISTU, AMARE		
P.O. BOX	1022				DAREN MULEUR
MINNEA	POLIS, MI	N 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2629	

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/923,433	KOYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Amare Mengistu	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply		C. T. (C) CD TI UDTY (CO) DAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION  136(a). In no event, however, may a relevant will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON  the cause the application to become AE	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.	April 2006.					
	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,8,10,11,33,47,48,53,54,70,71,74,</u>	75 and 82-87 is/are pending	g in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>5,8,10,11,33,47,48,53,54,70,71,74,</u>	75 and 82-87 is/are rejected	d.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	201					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an area and area and area.	cented or h) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeva	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	an priority under 25 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 0.3.0.	3 1 13(a)-(a) of (i).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l		t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/</li> </ul>		o(s)/Mail Date  Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other: _					

Application/Control Number: 09/923,433

Art Unit: 2629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 5,8,10-11,33,47-48,53-54,70-71,74-75,82-87 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,987,496 (hereinafter '496) in view of Koyama (6,747,623). The patent of '496 teaches applicant's claim limitations except a D/A converter for converting digital signal stored in a memory into analog signals. Koyama is cited to teach that it is well known for pixel display device to have a D/A converter for converting digital signal stored in a memory into analog signals (see, fig.1 n memory (105-107), D/A (111), also see claim 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/923,433

Art Unit: 2629

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have incorporated D/A converter of **Koyama** into the pixel display '496 so that the analog signals stored in the memory can be converted into digital signal to provide a quality to the display system.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims *5,8,10-11,33,47-48,53-54,70-71,74-75,82-87* have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amare Mengistu whose telephone number is (571) 272-7674. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F,M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3639. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,433

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Amare Mengistu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2629

AM

July 8,2006